	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•	
	09/807,842	TERANO ET AL.	TERANO ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Fred M Teskin	1713		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate comm GHTS. This application is:	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due o	d course. THIS	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>January 21, 2004</u> .				
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>25-31</u> .				
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>19 April 2001</u> are accepted by the Ex	kaminer.			
4.	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file MENT of this application. eitted. Note the attached EX es reason(s) why the oath of est be submitted. eson's Patent Drawing Review. es Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Cl sit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No d in this national stage applicated in this national stage applicated a reply complying with the requestion and applicated. AMINER'S AMENDMENT or Nor declaration is deficient. W (PTO-948) attached r in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. N	juirements OTICE OF	
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTC Summary (PTO-413), /Mail Date s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for Allo 		

Application/Control Number: 09/807,842

Art Unit: 1713

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 25-31 are allowed primarily in view of the essential limitations to an elastomer for molding, containing polypropylene-b-poly(ethylene-co-propylene), characterized by having, *inter alia*, "(a) polypropylene segments and poly(ethylene-co-propylene) segments ... linked chemically." (Claim 25, lines 1-2 and 7-8.)

Applicants' arguments directed to the above limitations, particularly those presented on pages 3-4 of the latest response, are well taken. In addition, examiner notes the statement in Matthews et al that at the end of the first polymerization sequence, "the reaction mixture is thought to contain non-living polypropylene (resin) and living polypropylene." (Col. 4, lines 16-18.) Since the non-living polypropylene chains will not copolymerize with the ethylene added in the next polymerization sequence of Matthews et al, the resultant polymer composition would expectedly contain polypropylene segments that are *not chemically linked* to the ethylene-propylene copolymer segments, contrary to characteristic (a) of the instantly claimed elastomer.

Further, as noted by applicants, the polymer of Matthews et al is essentially a homologue of the comparative experiments (I) and (m) of comparative experiments I-5 on page 50 of the present application. In Table I-1-1 herein, Comparative Examples I-4 and I-5, which employ the comparative copolymers (I) and (m), show a much lower ratio (after extraction/before extraction) of ethylene content of copolymer segments (EL: wt. %), versus inventive Examples I-1 through I-8. As per page 39 of the specification, this

Application/Control Number: 09/807,842

Art Unit: 1713

ratio is a measurement of the remaining poly(ethylene-co-propylene) segments chemically linked to polypropylene segments. Thus the Matthews et al polymer, by analogy, would be expected to display a comparably low ratio (after extraction/before extraction) of ethylene content of copolymer segments, indicating the polypropylene segments and ethylene-propylene copolymer segments of that polymer *are not linked chemically*, as required by claim 25 hereof.

Accordingly, claims 25-31 are deemed to define allowable subject matter and are passed to issue.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM, and can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1713

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FRED TESKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

FMTeskin/04-05-04